UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,602	04/14/2005	Georg Bauer	DE 020233	8158
	7590 02/24/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,602	BAUER, GEORG	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Alicia M. Lewis	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c). In which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 In which the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply original	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate anally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finding reje	oted ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication (inclination (i	102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 【	☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will	I he entered and an e	volanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ——.	ided below or appended.	The entered and an ex	Apianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been conside because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
10. [_] Otiliel			
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Chak does not teach assigning or selecting a type of linkage from a number of predefined types of linkages, a type of linkage being selected that corresponds to the type of content-based relation between input document and reference data. Examiner disagrees. Chak teaches a plurality of predefined link types (pages 4-6), and further he teaches selecting a link type. For example, Chak teaches that an existing link type may be replaced with a different link type, which means that the different link type will be selected (page 6 lines 19-21). He also explicitly recites link selection at page 6 lines 33-34 where he states that a relation between two assets is defined by a link selection. Thus, it is clear that Chak teaches selecting a type of linkage based on content-based relation between the input document and reference data. Furthermore, Corston teaches a linkage (relationship) that corresponds to the type of content based relation between the input document and reference data (column 11 lines 30-36).